

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/804,653 03/19/2004		3/19/2004	Gregory Eugene Borchers	SLA1495	7770	
27518	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
		RIES OF AMER	KINDRED, ALFORD W			
5750 NW PA		M BLVD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		•		2163		

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
			,653	BORCHERS, G	BORCHERS, GREGORY EUGENE	
	Office Action Summary	Examin	ier	Art Unit		
		Alford V	V. Kindred	2163		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet w	vith the correspondence	address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. Itory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a I will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This action is or allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal mat	•	he ments is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ion Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	e withdrawn from on and/or election Examiner. a) accepted or long to the drawing(sine correction is required.	n requirement. b) objected to) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)□ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docur al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have beer ule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this Nation	al Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	k(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/19/04</u> .		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P 	TO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application, filed on 03/19/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French et al., US# 20030041238.

As per claim 1, French et al. teaches "an MFP database comprising data regarding the MFP discovered; discovering drivers; building a driver database comprising data identifying at least one MFP each driver is applicable to" (see paragraph [0064], [0077]) "building a relationship database comprising an associated MFP/driver record for each allowable combination" (see paragraph [0107] and [0125]).

As per claim 2, French et al. teaches "wherein discovering MFPs comprises using SNMP to locate and identify an MFP" (see paragraph [0092]-[0093]).

As per claim 3, French et al. "wherein building an MFP database comprises parsing standard printer MIB data" (see paragraph [0068] and [0077]).

As per claim 4, French et al. "wherein discovering drivers comprises locating a driver file comprising metadata within a driver repository" (see paragraph [0085] and [0159]).

Application/Control Number: 10/804,653

Art Unit: 2163

As per claim 5, French et al. teaches "wherein the metadata is XML metadata" (see paragraph [0085]).

As per claim 6, French et al. "wherein the metadata identifies each MFP capable of being associated with each driver" (see paragraph [0085] and [0107]).

As per claim 7, French et al. teaches "wherein building the driver database comprises parsing the metadata" (see paragraph [0107] and [0159]).

As per claim 8, French et al. teaches "wherein building a relationship database comprises creating a relational database with a many-to-many relationship linking a primary key of the MFP database with a primary key of the driver database for each allowable combination of MFP/driver relationships based upon MFP model and driver model compatibility" (see paragraph [0245] and [0256]).

As per claims 9-10, French et al. teaches "comprising constraining the drivers prior to discovering the drivers . . ." (see paragraph [0257).

As per claim 11, French et al. teaches "constraining the associated MFP/driver combinations prior to building the relationship database" (see paragraph [0159] and [0256]).

As per claims 12-15, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-4 and 8 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 16-17, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-4 and 8 and are similarly rejected including the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/804,653

Art Unit: 2163

--French et al. Teaches "a fourth software routine for building a driver database .

.." (see paragraph [0256] and [0076]).

Page 4

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US# 20030120593; US# 20030093433.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100